EXHIBIT 14

In the Matter Of:

DAT THANH LUONG vs ALAMEDA COUNTY, et al.,

DANA WHITE

June 03, 2019

Court Reporters, Videography, Trial Preparation
Videoconference Center

Oakland • San Francisco • San Jose

Sacramento • Irvine • Los Angeles

877.451.1580

www.aikenwelch.com



1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	000
4	DAT THANH LUONG, DECEASED, through
5	his Co-Successors in Interest, AI QIONG ZHONG, Individually and as mother and Next Friend for W.L., a
6	minor, and MAI CHAU, individually,
7	Plaintiff,
8	vs. No. 3:17-cv-06675-EMC
9	ALAMEDA COUNTY, a public entity; SHERIFF GREG AHERN; JAIL COMMANDER THOMAS MADIGAN; DR. RINATA WAGLE,
11	M.D.; ESTATE OF MOHINDER KAUR, M.D.; JACKSON & COKER LOCUMTENENS, LLC;
12	BONNIE COOK, MFT; DEPUTY BRANDEN MCBRIDE; SHERIFF'S TECHNICIAN ROBERT
13	LUEBKER; DEPUTY SCOTT BRYNING; DEPUTY SHAWN CHRISTIANSEN; NAPA STATE HOSPITAL,
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE HOSPITALS, a public entity; PAM AHLIN; DOLLY MATTEUCCI; CINDY BLACK; and DOES 1-20, Jointly and Severally,
15	Defendants.
16	Delendants.
17	
18	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DANA WHITE
19	Taken before MICHELE J. LUCAS
20	CSR No. 4017
21	June 3, 2019
22	Aiken Welch Court Reporters
23	One Kaiser Plaza, Suite 250 Oakland, California 94612
24	(510) 451-1580/(877) 451-1580 Fax: (510) 451-3797
25	www.aikenwelch.com

			Page 2		Page 4
1 2		INDEX		1	DEPOSITION OF DANA WHITE
3	EXAMINATION	BY MS. SHERWIN	PAGE 8,78	2	BE IT REMEMBERED, that pursuant to Notice, and
4	EXAMINATION	BY MS. ADDAMS	76	4	on the day 3rd of June 2019, commencing at the hour of
5		EXHIBITS		5	10:13 a.m., in the offices of Haddad & Sherwin, 505
6 7	NUMBER	EXHIBITS	PAGE	6	17th Street, Oakland, California 94612, before me,
8	Exhibit 1	Deposition subpoena	6	7	MICHELE J. LUCAS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, State
9	Exhibit 2	Atayde Order re Stipulation Re	6	8	of California, personally appeared DANA WHITE, produced
1.0		Confidential Document Production		10	as a witness in said action, and being by me first duly sworn, was thereupon examined as a witness in said
10	Exhibit 3	White binder: Luong Direct	6	11	cause.
11	2,1112,210	Admission Waiting List		12	00
12	Exhibit 4	Ramirez State Production Waiting	6	13	APPEARANCES
1,,		List Materials		14	For the Plaintiff:
13	Exhibit 5	List 11/2018-5/2019	9	15	JULIA SHERWIN
14	2,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	DSH 000539550		1.6	MAYA SORENSEN
15	Exhibit 6	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting	15	16	Haddad & Sherwin 505 17th Street
1,,		List No. 7529-7558		17	Oakland, CA 94612
16	Exhibit 7	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting	32		510-452-5500
17		List No. 5999-6028		18	Michael.julia@haddadsherwin.com
18	Exhibit 8	1-Page Direct Admission Waiting	43		Maya@haddadsherwin.com
1.0		List 4949-4978 Prout		19	
19	Exhibit 9	1-Page Direct Admission Waiting	45	20	For the Defendants Napa State Hospital, California Department of State Hospitals:
20		List 3149-3178 Morua		21	bepartment of state hospitals:
21	Exhibit 10	1-Page Direct Admission Waiting	46		JENNIFER C. ADDAMS
		List 4619-4648 Shipley		22	Deputy Attorney General
22	Exhibit 11	1-Page Direct Admission Waiting	49		455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
23		List 962-991		23	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
24	Exhibit 12	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting	51		415-510-3363
2.5		List 2159-2188		24	Jennifer.addams@doj.ca.gov
25				23	
1					
1 1		P V U T P T T C	Page 3	,	Page 5
1 2	NIIMBED	EXHIBITS		1	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals:
2	NUMBER		PAGE	1 2	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO
1	NUMBER Exhibit 13	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting		2	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California
3			PAGE		For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals
2	Exhibit 13	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128	PAGE 53	3	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350
2 3		2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated	PAGE	2	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814
2 3 4 5	Exhibit 13 Exhibit 14	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities	PAGE 53	3 4	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729
2 3	Exhibit 13	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12,	PAGE 53	3 4 5	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814
2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 13 Exhibit 14	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities	PAGE 53	3 4	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5	Exhibit 13 Exhibit 14	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12,	PAGE 53	3 4 5	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729
2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054	PAGE 53 56 63	3 4 5 6	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	2-Page Direct Admission Waiting List 2099-2128 Other Types of County Designated Facilities E-mail chain dated Oct 11-12, 2016 DSH 000054 E-mail dated 7/11/2017	PAGE 53 56 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For the Defendant Department of State Hospitals: SHELISE (SHELLEY) MUZIO State of California Department of State Hospitals 1600 9th Street, Room 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-651-9729 Shelise.muzio@dsh.ca.gov

```
Page 8
                                                    Page 6
1
        (Exhibits 1-4 were marked for identification.)
                                                                       As Exhibit 1, we have the subpoena to
                                                           1
 2
                                                               testify in this deposition, which requested some
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning, Counsel.
                                                               documents from the witness.
 3
                                                               EXAMINATION BY MS. SHERWIN:
 4
    Here begins media No. 1 of the deposition of Dana
 5
     White vs. -- sorry -- deposition of Dana White,
                                                           5
                                                                    Q. Ms. White, can you take a look at
     Volume One. The caption of this case is Luong vs.
                                                               Exhibit 1. Let me pull it out for, and you let me
 6
                                                           6
 7
     Alameda County, et al., in the United States
                                                               know if you have seen that document before today.
     District Court for the Northern District of
                                                           8
                                                                   A. Yes.
 9
     California, and the case number is
                                                           9
                                                                    Q. Okay. And did you take a look at the list
     3:17-CV-06675-EMC.
                                                               of documents that we asked you to produce, which is
10
                                                          10
                                                               Attachment A, and gather all the documents and
             Today's date is Monday, June 3rd, 2019,
11
                                                          11
12
    and the time is approximately 10:14 a.m. This
                                                          12
                                                               information that you could?
13
    deposition is taking place at 505 17th Street in
                                                          13
                                                                   A. Yes.
14
     Oakland, California, 94612.
                                                          14
                                                                    Q. Okay. So I understand from your counsel
15
             The videographer and court reporter are
                                                          15
                                                               that you have brought some documents with you both
    both appearing on behalf of Aiken Welch Court
16
                                                          16
                                                               on CDs and in paper copies and that the State
17
     Reporters in Oakland, California.
                                                          17
                                                               requires permission from some director in order to
18
             Would counsel please voice identify
                                                               produce a thumb drive.
19
    yourselves and state whom you represent.
                                                          19
                                                                        So at this time, in lieu of the thumb
                                                               drive you are producing CDs, and I guess the thumb
20
             MS. SHERWIN: This is Julia Sherwin along
                                                          20
21
     with Maya Rodriguez Sorensen for the Plaintiffs.
                                                          21
                                                               drive permission is pending, correct?
22
             MS. ADDAMS: Jennifer Addams on behalf of
                                                          22
                                                                       MS. ADDAMS: That's right. We will have
23
     the State Defendants, and I am here with Shelley
                                                          23
                                                                that thumb drive.
    Muzio.
                                                                       MS. SHERWIN: Okay. So can I see those,
25
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you, Counsel.
                                                          25
                                                               please. Thank you.
                                                    Page 7
                                                                                                               Page 9
             The court reporter will now swear in the
                                                                       And then, just for the record, the CD ROM
1
                                                           1
                                                               has Bates numbers 292 to 550 on it.
2
     witness, and we can begin.
3
                                                                       And what is contained on this CD-ROM?
 4
                         DANA WHITE,
                                                                       MS. ADDAMS: I can speak for her.
 5
                     sworn as a witness,
                                                           5
                                                                       MS. SHERWIN: Oh, okay. Sure.
                    testified as follows:
 6
                                                           6
                                                                       MS. ADDAMS: It has her database. It's
 7
                                                           7
                                                               responsive -- I'm sorry. I don't have the --
 8
             MS. SHERWIN: Before we proceed, I just
                                                           8
                                                                       MS. SHERWIN: Exhibit 3, the direct
9
     want to note for the record that the parties have
                                                           9
                                                                admission waiting list?
     had a discussion off the record, and the State has
                                                                       MS. ADDAMS: Could I -- sorry.
10
                                                          10
11
     produced some documents in this case that also were
                                                          11
                                                                       MS. SHERWIN: Sure.
12
    produced in the Atayde case against the State as
                                                          12
                                                                       MS. ADDAMS: It is responsive to the
13
                                                          13
                                                               subpoena, No. 1, which is a database that Dana
14
             And I will just note for the record we've
                                                               White had been accumulating.
15
    marked as Exhibit 2 to the deposition the order in
                                                          15
                                                               BY MS. SHERWIN:
    the Atayde case, Lucy Atayde vs. Napa State
16
                                                          16
                                                                   Q. Okay. And then, Ms. White, you have
    Hospital, et al., Eastern District of California
17
                                                          17
                                                               produced some paper copies identified DSH 539
18
    case No. 1:16-CV-00398-DAD-SAB, document No. 141,
                                                          18
                                                               through 550, and what are these paper documents?
19
     filed May 23rd, 2019.
                                                          19
                                                                    A. It looks like the waiting list through --
20
             That stipulation and order removes the
                                                          20
                                                               it starts at 11-8-18 to, through, 5-17-19.
21
    confidentiality provision -- designations of the
                                                                    Q. Okay. Can I see that, please?
                                                          21
    documents that we will be -- the waiting list
                                                                        We will mark that as Exhibit 5 to the
22
                                                          22
23
    documents, including Ms. White's direct admission
                                                          23
                                                               deposition.
24
    waiting list, that will be discussed today, and so
                                                          24
                                                                     (Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)
    we have marked that as Exhibit 2 to the deposition.
                                                               ///
```

Page 13

Page 10 BY MS. SHERWIN: July of 2003? 1 1 Q. And, Ms. White, is this paper copy 2 A. Yes. responsive to our request for a paper copy of your Q. Okay. When did you stop doing that job? 4 complete direct admission waiting list from A. I think -- when did I leave? I think the 5 October 17th, 2018, to the present? 21st of May was my last day on duty. Do you want to look at it again? 6 Q. Okay. Did you go to another role within 7 Okay. So just going back to reorient you, 7 the Department of State Hospitals? 8 on our request for documents Attachment A, item A. I could have, and I chose not to. 9 No. 3, we requested a paper copy of your complete 9 Q. Okay. Are you working someplace else direct admission waiting list from October 17, right now? 10 10 2018, to the present. 11 A. No. 11 12 A. Oh, it looks like -- no. This is from 12 Q. Did you decide to retire? 13 11-8-18. 13 A. I will retire eventually, but I can't 14 MS. ADDAMS: I apologize. It looks like 14 retire now until December 30th. it's missing a page. I can get that to you. 15 Q. Are you currently employed by the 15 BY MS. SHERWIN: 16 16 Department of State Hospitals? 17 Q. Okay. And then it goes until May 17th you 17 A. Yes. 18 said? 18 Q. But you are not working? 19 A. Yeah. May 17th of this year. 19 A. I am on leave. 20 Q. Okay. Do you know why it ends at 20 Q. Oh, I see. 21 May 17th? 21 A. I had too much time, and I was unable to 22 A. I ended. 22 retire. I wanted to retire soon, and my timekeeper 23 Q. And why did you end it at May 17th? 23 was against that. 24 A. I'm not -- I wasn't there anymore. Q. Okay. So you are on leave? 24 A. For six months. 25 Q. Oh, you stopped working at the State? 25 Page 11 A. I haven't been at work since then. Q. All right. And then at the end of the six 1 Q. Since May 17th? months, you will be retired; is that right? 2 3 A. Yes. Starting December 31st. 4 Q. Okay. And so I have deposed you a couple Q. Okay. Do you know who took over your 5 of times, and just so I don't have to go over 5 position as the direct admissions coordinator? everything we went over in your previous two 6 A. I do not. 6 7 depositions, would you say that your testimony 7 Q. Okay. So the documents that you brought under oath in both the Atayde case and the Matthew 8 today that were your updated direct admissions Anderson case was truthful? 9 waiting lists, that goes until May 17th of 2019 A. Yes. because you stopped adding patients to the list --10 10 11 Q. Okay. So if I were to ask you the exact 11 A. Yes. same questions today as I asked you in the Atayde 12 Q. -- before you left on --12 13 case or the Anderson case, you would testify 13 A. The 21st. consistently with your depositions in those cases, Q. -- May 21st. Okay. 15 right? 15 And then I understand from the State's 16 response to our subpoena that you don't have any --A. I hope so. 16 well, strike that. 17 17 Q. And I understood from your deposition in 18 the Atayde case that you had been the direct I understand from the State's response to 18 admissions coordinator for Napa State Hospital for our subpoena you don't have any documents regarding 19 19 20 many years, correct? 20 any other person who died in custody while waiting 21 A. Yes. 21 admission to Napa State Hospital. Is that right? 22 Q. How many years were you the direct 22 23 admissions coordinator? 23 A. That's true. 24 A. More than 15. 24 Q. And you also don't have other waiting

lists -- well, strike that.

Q. And you started doing that, job was it in

25

Page 14 Page 16 When you were actively working in Napa Is my representation correct? 1 1 State Hospital, you also did not have any other 2 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you -- in the first column e-mails, documents, or correspondence regarding anyone else who had died while waiting for on the left-hand side, it says just No. 1. 5 5 admission to Napa State Hospital; is that right? Did you basically put people on the list, 6 A. That's correct. 6 and they have their own individual number in the 7 Q. And then at the time you left your active 7 order in which you have them on the list? 8 employment, you also did not have any other 8 A. Oh, you are talking about 7552, the 9 electronic copies of any waiting lists for 9 number -admission other than your direct admission waiting 10 10 Q. Right. That column. lists that you produced in this case and the Atayde 11 A. The computer makes that number. 11 12 case, right? 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. That's true. 13 A. Like he was just the next one I put in 14 Q. When did you first get your RN license? 14 there, and the computer gives him that number. 15 A. September 1991. 15 Q. Okay. And then in column A, it says: "Complete." What does that refer to? 16 And before that you were a site tech, 16 right? 17 17 A. That I thought that when the, when the packet arrived, it was complete. I didn't have to 18 A. Yes. 18 19 Q. When did you get your psych tech license? 19 do like a lot of calling around begging people for things, that I probably had everything I thought I 20 A. I think it was January or February of 20 21 1977. 21 needed. Q. When did you first start working at Napa 22 22 Q. Okay. So that column "complete," you are 23 State Hospital in any capacity? 23 indicating whether or not the patient's admissions 24 A. April 1st, 1977. packet was complete, correct? 25 Q. So you have worked at Napa State Hospital 25 A. Yes. Page 15 Page 17 for over 40 years; is that right? Q. And Dat Luong's admission packet was A. That's correct. 2 complete, right? 3 Q. And the direct admission waiting list that A. Yes. is Exhibit 3 to your deposition is something that 4 Q. And the next column, column C, says you created in the course of your job as the direct 5 "date," and there is a date of August 22nd, 2016. What does that date refer to? 6 admissions coordinator for Napa State Hospital, 6 7 7 right? A. The date that his -- he went on the 8 waiting list. 9 Q. And you created each of the entries on the 9 Q. Okay. So for each of the patients who are direct admission waiting list, right? listed on the waiting list, the date always refers 10 10 11 A. Yes, I did. 11 to the date that they go on the waiting list for 12 Q. And it was your regular practice to keep 12 admission to Napa State Hospital? 13 track of all of the folks who were placed on 13 waiting list at Napa State Hospital when you were 14 Q. Are you the person who put Dat Luong on 15 actively working at the hospital, right? 15 the waiting list, or did somebody else put him on 16 A. Yes. 16 the waiting list? MS. SHERWIN: So let's mark this as the 17 A. I did. 17 18 next in line. 18 O. That was your role as the direct 19 (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.) 19 admissions coordinator? 20 BY MS. SHERWIN: 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. For the record, Exhibit 6 are the pages 21 That was your job going back to 2003, from your direct admission waiting list that have a right? 22 22 23 listing for Dat Luong as patient No. 7552 on the 23 A. Yes. 24 waiting list, and I have highlighted it for your 24 The Alameda County, that's the county that

ordered him to Napa State Hospital right?

25

convenience.

A. Yes.

1

2

4

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

18

20

Q. And then you have a section entitled "legal," and for Dat Luong you have 1370. What

does that refer to? 5

6 Q. And then the next column says "admitted," and it has a date of October 11th of 2016. What 8 does that refer to?

A. The type of commitment.

- A. Well, he wasn't really admitted, but that was probably the date, maybe, that I found out that he died in custody because over in H it says: "Died in custody."
 - O. Right.
- 14 A. So that's probably the date.
- 15 Q. That's the data you learned that Dat Luong died in Santa Rita Jail while he was awaiting 16 17 admission to Napa State Hospital?
- 18 A. That's probably the date there or close to 19 the date.
 - Q. Okay. And we met last -- for the second time last October for your deposition, and I was reading your deposition yesterday and just noted it's fairly common for you to answer my question when I am in the middle of the question.
 - A. Oh, I'm sorry.
 - Page 19 Q. So I would just ask you to be mindful of that and be patient with me. I have to talk in a very boring, slow way to get the court reporter to get my whole question on the record before you answer it. Okay?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Thank you.

So October 11, 2016, was the date that you were informed that Dat Luong died, correct?

A. Yes.

- 11 Q. And then it says: "Approved August 30th 12 of 2016." What does that mean?
 - A. That means that the CCRAs, probably, they go over the packet after I do, and they redact everything. They copy it, and then the packet gets sent to the admissions suite.

So if they find that everything's right, which they probably did on 8-30, then they redact it, copy it, and it gets sent to the suite, and that's -- we consider that our approval date.

- 21 Q. Okay. When the admissions packet gets sent to the admissions suite, correct? 22
- 23
- 24 Q. And you said CCRAs. What does that refer 25 to?

A. Correctional case records analyst.

Q. Okay. So before the packet gets sent to the admissions suite, the people who review the packet are you as the direct admissions coordinator when you performed that job and then also the CCRAs; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Page 18

1

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

1

5

6

7

14

18

19

20

21

22

24

Q. And then the column H says: "Died in 9 custody."

Where would you have gotten the 10 information that Dat Luong died in custody? 11

- A. I heard about it from the suite.
- Q. Who told you?
 - A. A nurse in the suite received a phone call.
- Q. Do you know who the nurse was that 17 informed you?
 - A. No. But there's been a lot of change-up over there over time. People have either quit and moved on or retired.
 - Q. Okay. You mean from the admissions suite?
 - A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And then looking at the next page, at the top of the second column, it says: "OSC BWA." 25

What does that mean?

Page 21

A. Oh, that's like -- let me think.

I'm not sure what the initials mean, but a lot of times counties will file an OSC before they mail the packet.

- Q. Okay. And so when you say OSC, you are talking about an order to show cause why the Department of State Hospitals should not be held in contempt for disobeying a commitment?
- 9 A. Right. And people were filing them before they even dropped the packet in the mail to the 10 hospital. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. And do you know what BWA stands 13 for?
 - A. I don't -- I can't recall.
- 15 Q. The next column says: "Med ruling," and for Dat Luong it says: "IMD." What does that 16 17 refer to?
 - A. Involuntary medication determination.
 - Q. What does that mean?
 - A. That means that medications were ordered involuntarily.
- Q. Why was it important for you to note that 23 on your direct admission waiting list?
 - A. The treatment teams want to know the minute somebody arrives if they can medicate.

Page 22 Q. And so for you keeping track of the medication ruling, is that information that you provide to the treatment team?

A. Sometimes I get calls from doctors because they'll read the commitment order, and they'll go: What does that mean? What did the judge mean by that?

Sometimes when English isn't the first language spoken, they are not sure. So they'll call just to confirm something.

Q. Okay. And then you tell the doctor that that language in the commitment order means that the patient needs to get involuntary medication, correct?

15 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

18

23

24

25

Q. And that's a medical necessity for the 16 17 patient that the court has found and ordered, right? 18

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Looking at the next column, you have the 21 date committed, and for Dat Luong you have 22 July 22nd, 2016.

What does that refer to?

A. The date on the commitment order.

O. So that's the date that the court ordered

the patient to be committed to Napa State Hospital for restorative treatment, correct? 2

A. Yes.

Q. So for Dat Luong, the court ordered him to be committed at Napa State Hospital for restorative treatment on July 22nd of 2016, right?

A. Yes.

Q. In the course of your work with the State of California, have you understood that when a person is charged with a crime and found incompetent to stand trial and ordered to -committed to a state hospital, he has a constitutional right to prompt restorative

14 treatment?

15 Q. And you have been trained about that by 16 the State of California, correct? 17

Q. So I note that you approved -- or strike 19 20 that. 21

I note that Napa State Hospital approved 22 Dat Luong for admission 39 days after the court ordered him committed to the Napa State Hospital, or on October 30th of 2016.

Is that right?

A. Yes.

1

7

9

12

13

15

16

17

19

22

25

5

6

7

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Why did it take 39 days to approve Dat Luong for admission to Napa State Hospital when the court ordered his commitment on July 22nd, 2016?

5 A. It takes some time for the court to actually generate the packet and mail it to us. 6

Q. Do you put the patient on the waiting list after their packet is complete?

A. When it's mailed to us?

Q. Right. After you have received the 10 11 packet.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So you received the packet, the admissions packet, for Dat Luong on August 22nd, 2016, right?

A. And put it on the waiting list.

Q. Then why would it have taken eight days from the time you received the packet for Dat's admission to Napa State Hospital to be approved?

20 A. Do you see the rest of the waiting list 21 there?

Q. I do see the rest of the waiting list.

23 A. Okay. There's a few people in that office 24 to do all of this work.

Q. When you say, "There's a few people in

Page 25 that office to do all of this work," what do you 2 mean? 3

A. I mean you have -- at that time in 2016, you had a few CCRAs to do all of this. Plus they do other jobs other than approve these packets. They have other duties as well.

Q. Okay. And were you the only person -well, strike that.

9 I assume a CCRA doesn't have any sort of 10 nursing license.

Is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. They are technicians, right?

14 They are -- yeah. They are analysts. 15

Q. Okay. So are you the only person in Napa State Hospital, when you worked there actively, who would review the patient's admissions packet before it went to the CCRAs?

A. Yes.

Q. During the entire time that you have worked at Napa State Hospital as the direct admissions coordinator, have they ever had anyone else also doing the same work that you do, reviewing the admissions packets?

A. They did for a short time in about 2005,

Page 26 1 and it didn't work out. She went home with a headache and never came back and quit and left her keys in the boss's mailbox.

It was a weird situation, but she didn't 5 like the job, and when she left, my supervisor

- said: "Okay. Well, you'll just be doing the 6
- 7 whole" -- we were splitting up the counties.

8 And at that time, my boss said: "Well, 9 that didn't work out very well. You'll just be 10 doing all of the counties, and I will just get you

a bigger office, and you'll do all of these 11 12 counties."

13 And then I took over from there doing all 14 of the counties.

- 15 O. All of the counties in the State of California? 16
- 17 A. Well, no, because Atascadero and Patton and Metro do the more southerly counties, but we do 18 mostly Northern California. I think there is like 30 or 40 counties or so. 20
- 21 Q. So at one time in 2005, there was another 22 person helping you review the admissions packets?
- 23 A. Yes.

24

3

6

19

25

3

- Q. How long did that person work there?
- 25 A. Six months around so.

Page 27 Q. And then she just, sounds like, walked off 2 the job, right?

- A. She hated the job.
- 4 Q. Was your supervisor at that time Diane 5 Mond?
 - A. My supervisor was Chris Goodman.
- 7 O. So at that time in 2005 -- is Chris
- Goodman a man or a woman? 8
- A. A woman. 9
- 10 Q. Okay. Ms. Goodman informed you that you 11 would be reviewing all the packets for the counties 12 in Northern California where people are referred to 13 Napa State Hospital?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And then from 2005 until you left just less than two weeks ago, that was -- you were the 16 only person who reviewed the admissions packets for 17 18 Napa State Hospital?
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you ever feel like you didn't have 21 enough time to do your job as quickly as it should 22 be done?
- 23 A. I worked hard every day full-time and 24 overtime sometimes.
 - Q. Okay. I am just --

Page 28

- A. And they are just -- I just did the best job I could every day. I worked, came early, ate lunch at my desk, and sometimes went home late. So I did a lot of work every day.
- Q. I understand that, but I am asking actually a bit of a different question.

It seems -- well, strike that.

In any given week, when you were actively 9 working, about how many admissions packets did you have to review for people who were sent to Napa 10 State Hospital?

A. Anywhere from 20 to 40.

Q. And some of those packets were very voluminous, right?

A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. In addition to reviewing packets, what other things did you do? What other job duties did you have?
- A. I mostly just tried to stick to that because that is extremely time-consuming, and, you know, to search out to make sure that nobody that's escaped gets into Napa, and to read through all of these things, it takes a lot of concentration.
- Q. Okay. And then I understand from your deposition in the Atayde case that you would have

Page 29 to read perhaps 500 to 600 pages a day, and then

you would be pretty well shot, right?

Q. And then in the course of your work as the direct admissions coordinator, did that reading workload for you, 5- to 600 pages per day, was that pretty consistent?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Did you -- strike that.

Did Napa State Hospital or the Department of State Hospitals, other than this six-month period in 2005, ever offer to get you any help, for example, hire another direct admissions coordinator or two?

- A. They got me a secretary for quite a few years. So that when I ran out of things like paper, I didn't have to worry about ordering things, and I didn't have to go pick up the ink for my printer, and she did all of those things, kept everything dialed in, which was nice.
 - Q. How many years did you have the secretary?
- 22 A. Probably seven or eight years.
 - Q. And how many -- strike that. When did you -- when did that secretary

leave? When was she no longer working with you?

Page 33

A. She left early this year.

O. Okay. So back in 2016, you had the secretary?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

6

7

10

11

19

23

5 Q. Did anyone at Napa State Hospital, other 6 than hiring you a secretary, did anyone in the 7 State hospital or the Department of State Hospitals offer to have somebody else working alongside you 9 in the same position, for example, hire and train another direct admissions coordinator or two direct 10 admissions coordinator so you would be able to --11 the whole department would be able to get through 12 admissions packets more quickly? 13

A. No.

Q. During the entire time that you've been the direct admissions coordinator for Napa State Hospital, have you always been the only person performing that job with the exception of that woman who worked for about six months in 2005?

A. Yes.

21 Q. How many CCRAs did Napa State Hospital 22 have in August of 2016?

23 A. I'm trying to think back then. Maybe 24 about four or five.

Q. And so the delay in approving Dat Luong

Page 31 for admission to Napa State Hospital was basically a lack of people who had time to work on the 2 paperwork; is that right?

4 MS. ADDAMS: Objection. That misstates 5 her prior testimony.

THE WITNESS: People are working constantly. So, but there is many packets. and they have many other jobs.

BY MS. SHERWIN: 9

Q. The CCRAs have many other jobs too?

A. Yes, they do.

12 Q. Okay. How long -- back in 2016, how long 13 it would typically take you to get a packet to review after the packet comes in?

15 A. Usually we would start reviewing the same 16 day.

Q. When you say "we," does that include the 17 18 CCRAs reviewing the packet along with you?

A. If I could pass it off to them.

20 Q. Do you make any notation anywhere of when you pass the packet off to the CCRAs? 21

22 A. The 22nd would be the date that I passed it off to them.

24 Q. Okay. So August 22nd is the date that you put Dat Luong on the waiting list and passed his

admissions packet off to the CCRAs, right?

A. Yes.

Page 30

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

5

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And then the delay in actually approving him for admission would be something that happens with the CCRAs after you passed the packet off to them on August 22nd; is that right?

MS. ADDAMS: Objection. Misstates her former testimony.

THE WITNESS: Yes. It could be a lot of redacting that needed to be done. Anything can hold something up. Because we do redact. BY MS. SHERWIN:

13 Q. Now, for Dat Luong you recorded that he 14 died in custody.

Is that something that you always did when you learned a patient died in custody while awaiting admission to Napa State Hospital?

A. Yeah. I kind of keep records. That's why I have the information over there, just what -just if they don't come, or sometimes if somebody's canceled, I will put that they were canceled over there.

23 Q. Okay. Well, we'll look at another entry from the list then.

(Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. SHERWIN:

Q. Exhibit 7 is the direct admission waiting list referring to -- highlighted referring to my client Richard Ramirez.

And you understand that you are a

defendant in the federal civil rights case that 6 7 Richard's mom filed after Richard committed suicide in the Merced County Jail while waiting for transfer according to court order to Napa State 9 10 Hospital, right?

A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. So looking at your notation, you 13 have Richard Ramirez being -- well, the program has said Richard Ramirez was waiting list patient 15 No. 6007, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And his packet was complete, right?

Q. And it says that he was approved for admission on November 10th of 2014, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you put him on the waiting list on October 31st of 2014, right?

A. Yes.

Q. But there's no indication that Richard was

877.451.1580 www.aikenwelch.com DANA WHITE 06/03/2019

admitted to Napa State Hospital, right?

2 A. Right.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

Q. And there's also no indication that Richard died while in custody, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Why would you not have written the information that Richard Ramirez either committed suicide or died in custody on your direct admission waiting list?

A. It probably got by me because I see No. 6024 I don't have anything for that one either. So every now and again something does slip past me.

O. So the fact that Richard Ramirez committed suicide while waiting for Napa State Hospital to comply with the order to admit him for restorative treatment would have slipped by you?

Is that what you saying?

18 A. I'm saying keeping up this list and doing 19 all my other work, sometimes the list gets put to 20 the wayside.

Q. Did you ever ask anyone at Napa State Hospital or the Department of State Hospitals to hire some help, either another direct admissions coordinator or some other folks, to review packets?

A. No.

Page 35 Q. Did you ever think it would be helpful to have some other folks in the office doing the same job that you were doing so that the packets could be processed more quickly?

A. Well, when I had the woman in 2005 helping, it wasn't helpful.

Q. Why not?

A. She didn't try to learn the job, and things were messed up when she left.

Q. Okay. Well, she, as you said, hated the job and basically walked off the job after six months, right?

A. Right.

Q. Did you ever have any thought that the State could hire someone who might not hate the job and actually help speed up the review of the admissions packets so folks get approved and admitted earlier?

19 MS. ADDAMS: Objection. Misstates her 20 prior testimony.

THE WITNESS: We can only admit when there's a bed open. So people were waiting for beds.

24 We didn't -- he didn't -- when a bed's 25 open, we fill the beds, but I think lack of bed

Page 36 spaces would be more of an issue than the packet

getting approved.

BY MS. SHERWIN:

Page 34

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

20

21

22

Q. Well, I understand from the depositions 5 last October and November that Napa State Hospital and the Department of State Hospitals typically did not try to place the patient in a different facility -- for example, a psychiatric health facility or a public hospital or jail based treatment program -- while they were on the waiting 10 list. 11

Is that correct?

A. We would try to look for beds in other hospitals.

15 Q. Other state hospitals?

A. Yes.

Q. But not outside the Department of State Hospitals, correct?

A. No. And we still don't.

Q. Right. That was going to be my next question. So, and, actually, the answer was a little vague.

So is it correct to say that as long as you have worked for the Department of State Hospitals, that no nobody within the department, as

Page 37 far as you know, would look for placement, beds for placement, for patients outside the Department of

State Hospitals?

A. The people are committed to DSH. So, no, 5 we don't.

Q. Okay. Did you understand that both Richard Ramirez and Dat Luong were committed to Napa State Hospital or any other appropriate hospital?

A. They're committed to the Department of State Hospitals.

Q. Do you understand that the Department of State Hospitals has the ability to find a placement for a patient in either a psychiatric health facility or an LPS certified jail or public or private hospital that's not a Department of State Hospitals hospital?

18 A. LPS is for an LPS patient. They are not 19 LPS.

Q. Well, some of the patients are LPS patients, right?

A. Mr. Ramirez is definitely not.

23 Q. Well, an LPS patient is somebody who, 24 because of their mental illness, is either a danger to themselves or others or gravely disabled.

Page 38 You understand that as a registered nurse, right? 2

- A. I do understand that, but he is beyond --Mr. Ramirez was committed to the Department of State Hospitals. So he wouldn't go to an LPS facility.
- Q. And as long as you've worked -- in the over 40 years that you've work for the Department of State Hospitals, is it correct that the Department of State Hospitals has never attempted to place a patient who's on a waiting list for 12 admission to the state hospital in either an LPS facility, a jail based treatment program that is lapses certified, or a public or private psychiatric hospital that is not one of the DSH facilities; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And I understood from the depositions last October and November that the Department of State Hospitals and Napa State Hospital do not review the psychiatric acuity of every patient the courts commit to the hospital.

Is that correct?

A. What do you mean by that?

Q. Okay. Well, is it correct that neither

the Department of State Hospitals nor Napa State Hospital do a psychiatric acuity review on the patient before putting them on the waiting list?

A. We have medical records before they go on the waiting list. So if anything sticks out in those medical records that call to us to ask the jail for further information, we do call the jail.

Q. Okay. And you say if anything sticks out, that would be anything that sticks out to you, correct?

A. To me, to a nurse in the admissions suite, because they also read through that medical

Usually -- sometimes, to me, I'll read something and think: Wow, this person needs to get in a hospital now.

And then I'll ask the suite to make a phone call to the jail to see if they are suicidal right now or if things have changed or how the person's doing now, right that moment.

Q. Okay. And that's just something that might jump out at you while you are doing a review to see if the packet is complete, right?

A. And the medical that they sent with it.

Q. Right.

A. Yes.

1

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O. But there's never been any requirement during the over 40 years that you have worked for the Department of State Hospitals that some qualified medical or healthcare professional review every patient's medical records substantively for psychiatric acuity; is that correct?

A. We do it every day. We review it. I review it.

Q. Right.

A. The admission suite nurse reviews it. If we see something, yes, we do call the jail.

Q. All right. But I understood from the testimony in the Atayde case that a psychiatric acuity review is something that gets prompted when the jail medical or mental health provider requests the Department of State Hospitals to do a psychiatric acuity review, and then Dr. Tyler, the medical director, will review the patient's medical records.

Is that right?

A. She can.

Q. Then the person who does the psychiatric acuity review and makes the determination about the patient's psychiatric acuity is the medical

Page 41

Page 39 director of Napa State Hospital who is currently 2 Dr. Tyler, right?

A. She'll say whether they can come.

Q. Right. And it is her job to determine whether or not the patient is psychiatrically acute, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So is it correct, is my understanding correct, that during the entire time you worked for the Department of State Hospitals, there had not been an automatic psychiatric acuity review of every patient?

A. By Dr. Tyler?

Q. By any physician.

A. That's correct.

Q. You might notice in your review of the packet, when you are determining whether it's complete or not, that the patient seems to have serious psychiatric problems that would require their immediate admission, right?

A. Right.

Q. And then sometimes you might not notice that, correct?

A. Could be.

Q. During the entire time that you have

877.451.1580 www.aikenwelch.com DANA WHITE 06/03/2019

Page 44 Page 42 worked for the Department of State Hospitals, has BY MS. SHERWIN: 1 there ever been any discussion within the Q. Exhibit 8 is a portion of your direct 2 2 department that you are aware of about doing a admission waiting list, and I have highlighted psychiatric acuity review on every patient who has patient No. 4952, and he was placed on the waiting 5 been ordered committed to the state hospital before 5 list on August 1st of 2013; is that correct? 6 the patient gets put on the waiting list? A. Yes. 7 A. No. Q. And he was approved for admission to the 8 Q. During the entire time you have worked for hospital on August 28th of 2013, correct? 9 the Department of State Hospitals, has there ever 9 A. Yes. been any discussion that you are aware of of the Q. And then it says, quote, "admitted," end 10 10 Department of State Hospitals informing the court, quote, July 13th of 2014; is that right? 11 11 12 the committee court, the district attorney, or 12 June 13th. 13 criminal defense attorney about the delay that 13 Q. I'm sorry. June 13th, 2014. patient will have to endure before being admitted 14 A. Yes. 15 to the state hospital? 15 Q. But you also note that he was deceased per the court. What does that mean? 16 A. They go to court all the time to advise 16 17 them of the delay. 17 A. The court told us he had died. Q. Who is "they"? Q. And so that June 13th, 2014, date would 18 18 19 A. The lawyers for DSH do show up in court. 19 be, like with Dat Luong, the day that you were informed that patient No. 4952 died, right? 20 Q. Okay. Do they show up in court in 20 21 response to an order to show cause why the 21 A. Yes. 22 Department of State Hospitals should not be held in 22 Q. It does not mean that he was actually 23 contempt? 23 admitted to the hospital, correct? 24 A. Or transfer orders or just the court A. That's correct. 24 25 wanting some advisement, they will show up. 25 Q. So that patient had to wait over eight and Page 45 Q. I understand from your testimony last a half months on the waiting list before he died in 1 2 October that the current waiting time back then for 2 custody, right? a patient who is ordered committed to Napa State MS. ADDAMS: Objection. That misstates Hospital was about 60 to 90 days. her testimony. 5 Is that correct? 5 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if he was in A. It was at that time, yes. custody or not. 6 6 7 Q. And what is the current wait time as of 7 BY MS. SHERWIN: May of 2019, when you left? Q. Okay. Well, he had to wait over eight and 8 9 A. Probably about 60 days. a half months on the waiting list before he died, Q. And that's an estimate that you're making right? 10 10 11 just based on your work experience with Napa State 11 A. That's correct. 12 Hospital, correct? 12 O. Let's look at the next exhibit. (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) 13 A. When I left, we were at about 60 days. 13 BY MS. SHERWIN: 14 Q. Were there any documents kept by Napa 14 15 State Hospital during the time you actively worked 15 Q. Okay. On Exhibit 9 we have highlighted there in which the hospital or Department of State patient No. 3150, and he was placed on the waiting 16 16 Hospitals kept track of how long a patient was 17 list on February 16th of 2011, right? 17 18 going to have to wait on average? 18 19 A. There probably are, but it is not 19 Q. He was approved for admission on 20 something -- it would be above me. I don't keep 20 February 18th of 2011, correct? 21 that stuff. 21 A. Yes. MS. SHERWIN: We will mark this as the 22 22 Q. And then you were informed on 23 next exhibit in line. 23 February 24th of 2011 that he died, right?

24

25

A. Yes.

Q. And both patient No. 4952 and patient 3150

24

25

///

(Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)

that we've just discussed are folks who died while waiting for admission to Napa State Hospital,

3 right?

4 A. Yes.

5 (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SHERWIN: 6

7 Q. Now, looking at Exhibit 10, I have 8 highlighted patient No. 4636 who also died while 9 waiting for admission to Napa State Hospital; is that correct? 10

A. Yes.

11

17

23

25

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

12 MS. ADDAMS: Objection. That misstates 13 the prior testimony.

14 BY MS. SHERWIN:

15 Q. And you put this patient on the waiting list on March 22nd of 2013, right? 16

A. Yes.

18 Q. And then he was not approved for admission 19 until September 16th of 2014, correct?

A. Yeah. I think he may have been out of 20 21 custody.

22 Q. Okay. Do you have a specific memory of this patient No. 4636 from March and October --March of 2013 and October and September of 2014?

A. I don't think we were sure that he was

Page 47 coming, actually. Orange County is not a county that we normally serve. So we were doing somebody

3 else a favor.

4 Q. Do you have a specific recollection of 5 that patient No. 4636?

A. He wasn't in custody.

Q. How do you know he wasn't in custody?

A. I remember this case.

Q. Do you remember -- well, strike that.

You did not remember the Richard Ramirez 10 11 case when I took your deposition last October, did 12 you?

13 A. This guy was out of custody, this man

14 here.

15 Q. Okay. Why did it take almost a year and a half to approve him for admission to the hospital? 16

A. Do you see where it says "complete" in A?

Q. Yes. I see that.

A. And it says "no"?

O. I see that.

21 A. Okay. It wasn't complete. The packet was not complete. We couldn't get a complete packet. 22

23 He was out of custody, and then we weren't sure if

24 he was going to come. He was elderly.

25 Q. How old was he? Page 46

1

4

5

6

7

12

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

20

21

22

23

24

A. I do not remember that, but he wasn't in good shape, and he was elderly, and he wasn't in very good shape, and we weren't sure if he was going to come.

And when we finally did get the packet together, we finally got them to send us stuff. Then he died before he got here.

Q. Okay. The hospital would have approved 9 him after they received the packet, right?

10 A. Yeah. But it took us -- we didn't approve 11 the packet until a year later.

Q. Actually, almost a year and a half later?

A. Yes. Because we couldn't get the 13 14 information.

Q. Okay. But by the time that patient No. 4636 was approved on September 16th of 2014, his packet was complete, right?

A. Right.

19 Q. And then you were informed that he died 20 while awaiting admission to Napa State Hospital 21 on --

A. This man was in a hospital --

Q. Excuse me, ma'am. I'm sorry. You need to let me get the question into the record. Okay? So you approved him for admission on

Page 49

September 16th, 2014, and then were informed that he died while awaiting transfer to Napa State 2 Hospital on October 6th of 2014; is that correct?

4 A. No. He was in a hospital; therefore, we had the bed. He could not come, and he died in a 6 hospital.

Q. When did you have the bed available for him?

A. Before he could come.

The packet was approved. We couldn't get him, and then he was in a hospital, and he died in a hospital. He was elderly, and this is probably a case where this man should have never been referred to us because he was old and not the kind of work we do at the state hospital.

MS. SHERWIN: Okay. We will look at the next exhibit in line.

18 (Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.) 19 BY MS. SHERWIN:

Q. And I have highlighted for you patient No. 980 who you placed him on the waiting list on June 7th of 2006, correct?

Q. And he was ordered committed to Napa State Hospital by the Sacramento County Superior Court,

correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

Q. You also -- strike that.

The Napa State Hospital also approved him for admission and admitted him on June 7th of 2006, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Why would Napa State Hospital have approved patient No. 980 and admitted him on the same date?

A. I can't remember that case.

Q. Okay. I notice on your direct admission waiting list there were a lot of patients from Sacramento who were ordered admitted by Sacramento Superior Court who were admitted on the same day their packet was approved.

Do you know why that is?

A. Back in, I think, '05 and '06 -- I'm not sure of the years, really, it has been so long ago -- Sacramento used to just drop people off, and they were admitted the same day.

And I'm not sure -- there was some agreement with DSH, and I'm not sure when that ended or how long it went on for.

Q. Do you recall if the practice of

Page 51 Sacramento dropping people off at Napa State Hospital and them being admitted the same day was something that went on for years?

4 A. I don't think it went on for years, but at 5 least a year.

Q. Well, it looked to me like there were a lot of folks admitted from Sacramento on the same day that they were approved until about June of 2012 when I reviewed your direct admission waiting list.

A. Really? Okay.

Q. But people admitted on the same day as their packet being approved from Sacramento was because Sacramento County would drop the patient off directly at Napa State Hospital; is that right?

A. Yes.

O. Let's look at the next exhibit.

18 (Exhibit 12 was marked for identification.)

19 BY MS. SHERWIN:

20 Q. In this exhibit I have highlighted patient 21 2159 who was placed on the waiting list and approved for admission on May 28th of 2009, right? 22

23

24 Q. And then he was admitted just five days later, on June 2nd of 2009, correct?

A. Yes.

Page 50

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And then you have in your information section that this patient made two suicide attempts in jail, right?

5 A. I don't know where they were made, but 6 yes.

Q. Well, if you look at the next page of that exhibit, it says "in jail," right?

A. Oh, okay. Yes.

Q. Where would you have gotten the information that this patient made two suicide attempts in jail?

A. From the jail.

Q. Okay. And would you have been the person 15 that decided that this patient required priority admission?

A. Well, I would have probably -- I am just guessing now because this was 2009.

I would have probably called Diane at the suite and asked her to -- could he come.

Q. When you say Diane, you're talking about Diane Mond?

23 A. Yes.

> Q. And you are not guessing. You are just basing your testimony on your practice, correct?

> > Page 53

A. Yes.

Q. So this is a patient, as you discussed earlier, who may have jumped out at you when you reviewed his records and the fact that he had made two suicide attempts in the jail meant that he really needed to get to Napa State Hospital on a priority basis, right?

A. Yes.

MS. SHERWIN: Okay. We will take a quick break for our court reporter.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of DVD one to the deposition of Dana White. The time is now 11:20 a.m. We are going off the record.

(Recess taken)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going on the record. This marks beginning of DVD two in the deposition of Dana White, and the time is 11:30 a.m.

MS. SHERWIN: This is the last example from your waiting list that I want to ask you about.

(Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SHERWIN:

Q. On Exhibit 13, we have highlighted information regarding two patients, both of whom

Page 54 Page 56 have a notation with their numbers of, quote, "high jails? 1 2 A. No. They are under DSH, but there is 2 SRA, " end quote. If you look at the second page, I've employees at the jails that do that work. 3 4 highlighted that. Q. So the restoration of competency treatment 5 5 is done exclusively within the jail, but the Does that refer to the person being a high suicide assessment? patient is still in the custody of the Department 6 7 A. No. of State Hospitals; is that right? 8 Q. What is the high SRA? 8 A. I think so. I don't quite understand it, 9 A. Security. 9 but I think that most of the jail based treatment 10 centers are under DSH. They also have AES, and 10 Q. Oh, okay. Security risk assessment. So that means that the person cannot go to 11 there's a few different ones, but they are all 11 a Metropolitan State Hospital? 12 under DSH now. 12 13 A. And they couldn't stay at Napa it means. 13 Q. And the DSH being Department of State 14 Q. So they would have to go to either 14 Hospitals? 15 15 Atascadero or Patton? A. Yes. 16 A. When that happens, we always send it to O. We will take a look at the next exhibit in 16 17 Atascadero. 17 line. Q. Are the patients who are a high security (Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.) 18 18 risk assessment patients who can go to Patton, and 19 19 BY MS. SHERWIN: Q. This is a document we discussed at your 20 you just always send them to Atascadero? 20 21 A. If they are male, we send them to 21 deposition in the Atayde case, which is a list of 22 Atascadero. If they are female, we send them to 22 jail treatment facilities that was provided by the 23 Patton. 23 State of California on its correctional healthcare Q. What makes a patient a high security risk web site. 25 assessment? 25 As you sit here today, do you know which Page 55 Page 57 A. Escape from anywhere locked. counties have jail based competency treatment? 1 Q. So that could even be from a locked A. Kern. It's called AES. 2 3 psychiatric facility, right? Q. What does AES stand for? A. I'm not sure. 4 A. Yes. It could be escape from custody. 5 Q. And then for each of these patients, you Q. Okay. So that Kern County has a jail note in the "information" section, it says: based treatment facility? 6 6 7 "Mailed to ASH." 7 A. Small one. 8 The packet was mailed to Atascadero State Q. Okay. 9 Hospital; is that right? 9 A. Kern is a small county. A. Yes. Sacramento, you have that one. Sonoma has 10 10 11 Q. Then I noted in your direct admissions 11 one. Stanislaus has one. waiting lists that starting in about 2016 you have 12 Q. Does Los Angeles County have a jail based 12 13 notations for patients being sent to JBCT. 13 treatment facility? 14 What does that refer to? 14 A. I think they do. I don't deal much with 15 A. Jail based competency treatment. 15 L. A. because it's not a county we serve as a rule. Q. When did Napa State Hospital start sending Every now and again we will take somebody as a 16 16 people to jail based competency treatment? favor because they need to get up here to be near 17 17 18 A. I think around that time. 18 family or some other such thing. They need a SNF 19 O. Around 2016? 19 bed, we'll take them. 20 A. Yes. 20 O. What's a SNF bed? 21 Q. What is jail based competency treatment? 21 A. Skilled nursing. 22 A. The jails have 90-day treatment centers Q. Oh, I see. 22 23 for people they think can get competent in 90 days. 23 It looks like, at least on your waiting 24 Q. And does the Department of State Hospitals list, that Napa State Hospital started sending oversee that treatment that's provided in the people to jail based treatment, competency

06/03/2019

DANA WHITE

Page 58 treatment programs, in about February of 2016.

1 When you started sending folks to jail 2 based competency treatment programs, what counties 4 were available to you to send people to?

- A. They had one jail based treatment center in Sac and one in San Bernardino. Those were the first -- Sac was the first one to start.
- Q. Sacramento?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

20

25

8

17

18

19 20

21

25

- 11 A. San Bernardino started the next one, and 12 it's been slow starting the others.
 - Q. Do you know whether or not the Department of State Hospitals has had any role in starting those jail based competency treatment programs?
 - A. I think they do have a role in it. I'm not sure about that though.
- 18 Q. Does Santa Clara County have a jail based competency treatment program? 19
 - A. I don't think they do.
- 21 Q. What was your role with respect to finding 22 folks placement within a jail based competency
- 23 treatment program once the State hospital started doing that?
 - A. I'd talk to them and see if they needed

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- - Page 59
- patients and see if they could take people back and forth.
- 3 Q. Okay. When did you start doing that?
- A. In 2016. 4
- 5 Q. How often would you talk to the jail based competency treatment program to see if they could 6 7 take patients?
 - A. We talk briefly.
- 9 Q. How would --
- 10 A. Just over the -- you know, we either call 11 back and forth. We talk.
- 12 Q. Who would you call?
- 13 A. The people at the -- I think it was Sylvia Costa in Sac, and I forget the woman's name in 15 San Bernardino. I don't think she is there anymore 16 now, but, you know, if they needed patients.
 - And it's a fine balancing act. Can they get them in quicker than we can, or they only want -- it was hard for me to figure out their criteria for admission because they have a different criteria than we have.
- 22 Q. Did you ever see admission criteria in 23 writing from any jail based competency treatment 24 program?
 - A. They were just starting up, and nobody

really wanted to share that. It was kind of strange.

- Q. Did you ask for admissions criteria from these counties, and they refused to give it to you?
- A. Absolutely. They just talked around it. They said it is still in the works. We would hear that kind of thing from them.
- I know they don't want -- I know what they don't want though: Anybody too violent, anybody that's acted out in the jail setting, anybody that has an intellectual disability, anybody that has too many medical problems, and anybody they feel cannot get competent in 90 days.
- Q. Did you have any written guidance from the State of California about when you should look for jail based competency treatment for a patient?
 - A. No. It was all brand-new.
- 18 Q. That was something that you did on your 19 own?
 - A. Well, it was kind of part of my job to look for a bed and see if somebody could get in sooner, or did they have open space in Sac. I just tried to do my best there.
 - Q. Okay. But there wasn't any written policies or procedures or guidelines provided to

Page 61

- you by anyone within the State of California about when you should look for jail based competency
 - treatment for a patient who's on the waiting list?
 - A. No.
 - Q. That's correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. That's been the case from the time jail based competency treatment first started until you stopped being actively employed on the job a couple 10 weeks ago; is that correct?
 - A. No.
 - O. That's not correct?
 - A. That's not correct.
 - Q. Okay. When did you receive any policies, procedures, or guidelines in writing about when you should try to find jail based competency treatment for a patient?
 - A. About -- let's see. When was this -- 19 or 2000 -- probably sometime in 2017 maybe, late 2017, they have the patient management unit up in Sacramento, and they kind of sift off who goes to the jail based treatment and who goes to the state hospital now. They took that over.
- 24 Q. Okay. And what information do you provide to the patient management unit in Sacramento?

1 A. I don't.

- Q. Do you know what information they get 2
- before they decide who to sift off to jail based --
- 4 A. No.

5

6

11

12

- Q. -- competency treatment?
- A. I am not involved in that.
- 7 Q. Do you know by profession what types of
- 8 people are doing that sifting off? So, for
- 9 example, registered nurses or psychologists or
- psychiatrists? 10
 - A. They are mostly analysts.
 - Q. And that would be people like CCRAs?
- A. No. They're SSAs. 13
- 14 Q. What is that?
- 15 A. I don't know. It's some -- it's just an analyst. I'm not sure what that really stands for, 16
- 17 but it is some state classification. They are
- called SSAs. 18
- 19 Q. So beginning in late 2017, there have been
- 20 some analysts in the case management unit in
- 21 Sacramento who would decide who goes to a jail
- 22 based competency treatment program, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. But as far as you are aware, there is
- 25 still no consideration about whether the patient
 - Page 63

Page 62

1

6

9

12

21

22

23

1

6

10

14

17

18

- should go someplace such as a public or private hospital that is not a DSH facility; is that 2
- 3 correct?

7

10

11

- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Back in October of 2016, what hours did you typically work? 6
 - A. I don't know. 6:30 to 3:00 maybe.
- 8 Q. What hours did you work when you left your 9
 - active employment with the department?
 - A. About that same kind of hours.
 - Q. Was that Monday through Friday?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 MS. SHERWIN: Okay. We will mark this as
- the next in line.
- 15 (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)
- BY MS. SHERWIN: 16
- Q. Exhibit 15 is some e-mail correspondence 17
- 18 that we received from Department of State
- Hospitals, Bates stamped page 54 that shows e-mail 19
- 20 from Sandra Darvison with the Alameda County
- 21 Sheriffs Office to you and then you forwarding the
- 22 e-mail to someone within the Department of State
- 23 Hospitals.
- 24 Do you recall receiving this e-mail from
- Ms. Darvison informing you that Dat Luong had died

- on October 11th of 2016?
 - A. No. Not until I just saw it. No.
- Q. Okay. And then you forwarded the e-mail
- to someone within the Department of State
- 5 Hospitals.

Who did you -- with a notation: "This is the e-mail I received yesterday."

Who did you forward the e-mail to?

- A. I think he's a lawyer.
- Q. Do you know why you were forwarding 10
- Ms. Darvison's e-mail to him? 11
 - A. He must have been a -- I don't know why.
- 13 Q. Do you know who Robert du Ruyter is? I probably mispronounced his name.
- 15 A. He is a lawyer at DSH, or he was. I guess he is still up there. 16
- 17 Q. Was Sandra Darvison your contact person at the Alameda County Sheriff's Office for patients 18 19 who were ordered by Alameda County courts to be 20 transferred to Napa State Hospital?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How long was she your contact person?
 - A. Always.
 - Q. During the entire time you were the direct
 - admissions coordinator?

Page 65

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. After Dat Luong's death, as far as you
- know, did the Department of State Hospitals conduct
- any internal investigation about what role it may
- 5 have had in his death?
 - A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. Did anyone talk to you, anyone from the
- 8 Department of State Hospitals, talk to you about
- Dat Luong after he died? 9
 - A. I don't think so.
- 11 Q. Did anyone ask you to give any statement 12 about Napa State Hospital's involvement in Dat
- 13 Luong's case before he died?
 - A. No.
- 15 MS. SHERWIN: Let's mark this next in line. 16
 - (Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)
 - BY MS. SHERWIN:
- 19 Q. Exhibit 16 is an e-mail correspondence 20 between you and Sandra Darvison at the Alameda County Sheriffs Office dated July 11th, 2017, and 21
- 22 bearing a Bates stamp No. DSH 59.
- 23 So on July 11th, Ms. Darvison asked you if 24 you had any paperwork on Dat Luong who was killed
 - on October 11th, right?

A. Yes.

1

6

7

16

19

2

3

4

5

6

7

24

25

Q. And she wanted to see if you had any

- 3 paperwork regarding his commitment and the order to 4 transport him to you, right?
- 5 A. Right.
 - Q. And then what was your response to
 - Ms. Darvison in your e-mail?
- A. That we don't keep records when somebody isn't coming to us.
- Q. You told her you don't have anything because, quote: "We don't keep records when somebody isn't coming to us," end quote, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. When you said "we don't keep records," who were you referring to?
 - A. In my office.
- Q. What do you do with the records on people who are not coming to Napa State Hospital?
 - A. It goes into the confidential shredding.
- 20 Q. Do you have confidential shredding in your 21 office?
- 22 A. Yes. It's picked up, but there's a blue 23 bin in there.
- Q. How long after you learn that the person is not coming to Napa State Hospital do you shred

Page 67

Page 66

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 that person's records?

A. I dump it immediately. They are not coming.

- Q. So when you learned on October 11th of 2016 that Dat Luong had been killed in Alameda County Jail, you shredded the records regarding him; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes. I didn't shred them, but I did get9 rid of them.
- Q. You dumped them in the confidential shredding bin?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. On the same day that you learned that he had been killed, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Does the Department of State Hospitals have any written policies, procedures, or quidelines for maintenance of records?
- 19 A. On patients that are admitted, yes.
- Q. There are written policies and procedures and guidelines on maintaining records on patients who are admitted to Napa State Hospital?
- 23 A. Yes, there are.
 - Q. What do those documents provide?
 - A. I'm not sure. We have people that take

Page 68 care of the records in medical records, and that is their job, their sole job, and they are up on all

the records maintenance.

And they keep all the records there, and when people want records from a former visit to the hospital or any kind of release of records on somebody that's been there or is there, they know how to legally release records.

Q. Has anyone within the State of California ever provided you with any training about any legal requirements with respect to maintenance of medical records on patients regardless of whether or not they are actually admitted to the hospital?

A. We have confidential and HIPAA training.

- Q. And have you received any training about any legal requirements for maintaining records on a patient who hasn't come to Napa State Hospital, for example, Dat Luong who was killed before he arrived at the hospital?
- A. No.
- Q. Has anyone within the State of California instructed you to destroy records on patients once you learn they are not coming to Napa State Hospital?
 - A. If their case is dropped or vacated, the

Page 69

order's vacated, or for any reason somebody doesn't come to the state hospital, we do not keep those records.

- Q. Okay. And has the State of California or any of your supervisors within the State of California instructed you that you should destroy the records on patients who don't come to the hospital?
- A. It's always been our way of doing. I don't know that they have instructed me, but it's how we do it.
- Q. Okay. So the entire time you've worked for the State of California the State has always destroyed the records for patients it has been ordered to admit pursuant to a commitment order if the patient is not admitted -- does not physically arrive at the state hospital; is that correct?

18 MS. ADDAMS: Objection. Misstates her 19 testimony.

THE WITNESS: If the order is vacated, if for any reason the patient -- the judge just changes their mind, or the lawyer changes his mind about the patient coming, we don't keep that commitment order in that packet. We have no need for it because they will not be coming.

Page 70 BY MS. SHERWIN: 1 Q. Okay. Is it correct -- I just want to 2 make sure my understanding is correct. Okay? Is it correct that as long as you have 4 5 worked for the State of California the State has always destroyed documents relating to patients who 6 7 die in jail custody while they are awaiting transfer pursuant to an order of commitment to one 9 of the state hospitals? MS. ADDAMS: Objection --10 THE WITNESS: I can't --11 12 MS. ADDAMS: Way beyond the scope of what 13 this witness would know. THE WITNESS: I don't know. 14 BY MS. SHERWIN: 15 Q. Okay. But have you always -- has it 16 17 always been your understanding of the practice as long as you have worked at Napa State Hospital that 18 19 you should dump the records into the confidential shredding bin for patients who die in custody while 20

A. I only keep the record if I am asked to keep the record. If no one asks me to keep it, then -- my office is about this big (indicating).

they are awaiting transfer to a state hospital?

I wouldn't have room to save everything

Page 71 for everyone that didn't come. So I don't save all the packets for people that don't come.

Q. Okay. What I am trying to understand, Ms. White, is this practice of shredding the records when you are informed that the patient died in jail custody while they are awaiting Napa State Hospital to comply with an order to admit the patient for restorative treatment, is this your own practice of shredding the records, or is it a practice that you have been given by the State of Cal or any of your supervisors?

12 MS. ADDAMS: Objection. Misstates her 13 prior testimony.

14 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

15 BY MS. SHERWIN:

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

5

6

7

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. You don't know one way or the other?
- A. I don't keep records when people aren't coming. I don't.
- Q. Okay. So when you learned that Dat Luong died in custody while he was waiting for Napa State Hospital to comply with the court order to admit 22 him for restoration of competency, you had his records dumped in the confidential shredding bin,
- 23 24 correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

Q. And that's been your practice as long as you have been the direct admissions coordinator; is that right?

A. Yes. We don't keep those paper copies.

5 Q. I note from your deposition last fall that in July of 2012 your plan was to send all transport orders, orders to show cause, and potential orders to show cause to other hospital if a deal can't be 9 negotiated.

We discussed that at your deposition. Do you recall having that as your intention during your employment with the State of California? MS. ADDAMS: Objection. Vague and

13 14 ambiguous. If you understand the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't --

BY MS. SHERWIN: 16

1

10

11

12

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. I will show you what was marked as Exhibit 32 to your deposition in the Atayde case, and I am just -- we are not going to mark it here because it's a document that you appear to have filled out in connection with your own individual development.

But the document says that your plan is to: Mail away all packets that can go to Metro. Send all transport orders, OSCs, and potential OSCs

Page 73 to other hospital if a deal can't be negotiated.

I will just show that to you. 2

- A. Oh, this is my goal for the year in 2012.
 - O. Right.
 - A. Okay. Okay.

Q. Okay. And the deal that you were talking about was a deal with the judge who ordered the patient's commitment to Napa State Hospital, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And you would call the judge personally and ask the judge if he or she would allow you to admit the person later, correct?

Q. As far as you know, did anyone else within the State of California or Department of State Hospitals make telephone calls like that to judges who have ordered a patient to be committed?

MS. ADDAMS: Outside the scope of what this witness could testify to.

BY MS. SHERWIN:

- Q. Well, the first sentence -- the first beginning of that question is: As far as you know.
- 23 A. I have no idea. I did it regularly. I 24 don't know if anybody else did it.
 - Q. Okay. When you say, "I did it regularly,"

Page 74

6

7

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

you regularly would make calls to the judge who ordered a patient committed to Napa State Hospital

to see if the judge would make a deal with you to

4 let the patient come in later, correct?

A. Yeah. Maybe by a day or two if we couldn't meet the date of the OSC.

- 7 Q. Did you let anyone within the State of 8 California know that you were making these calls to 9 judges?
- 10 A. My supervisor.
 - Q. Diane Mond?
- 12 A. Yes.

5

6

11

16

21

22

23

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

- Q. Have you made these calls to judges during the entire time that you were the direct admissions coordinator at Napa State Hospital?
 - A. I haven't made them lately.
- Q. When is the last time you recall having made one of these calls to a judge?
- 19 A. Probably 2014. I haven't done it in a 20 long time.
 - Q. Do you know whether anyone else within the Department of State Hospitals made telephone calls to judges to see if the judge would let them take the patient later than the judge had ordered?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Did you ever let the patient's criminal defense lawyer know that you were making these personal calls to the judges who had ordered Napa State Hospital to take the patient?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you ever let any prosecutor or district attorney know that you were making these direct calls to judges?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Did Diane Mond ever tell you not to call the judge directly?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Did Diane Mond ever express any concerns
 to you that you might be violating rules against
 ex parte communications with the court by calling a
 judge directly and not informing the district
 attorney or the patient's criminal defense lawyer?

 MS. ADDAMS: Objection. Calls for a legal
 opinion.
- 20 THE WITNESS: No. Sometimes a judge would 21 call me. So if the judge called me, I would talk 22 to her.
- 23 BY MS. SHERWIN:
- Q. Okay. But nobody within the Department of State Hospitals ever expressed a concern that with

you making telephone calls to judges to see if you could make a deal for the patient to be admitted

later, you might be violating rules against

- 4 ex parte communications with the court; is that 5 correct?
 - A. No one ever said anything.

MS. SHERWIN: Okay. I have no further questions. Thank you.

MS. ADDAMS: I have a few questions.

Before we go off the record, do you need a break before? Okay. Great.

EXAMINATION BY MS. ADDAMS:

Q. I wanted to ask you about the exhibits that are in front of you, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13.

Were these -- these are pages from what has been referred to here as a waiting list, correct?

- A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was this an official DSH waiting list?
 - A. No.
 - O. What was it?
- A. Just like a record of my work. I just keep track of every patient I work on and put their name down and a little something about them because

Page 77

then later on hopefully I can remember things. It might jog my memory and dates and just to help myself a little bit.

- Q. Were you asked by anybody, any supervisor or anyone, to make this list?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were you asked to continue the list throughout your employment at Napa State Hospital?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Does this list constitute IST and other admission, potential admitees, for Napa State Hospital?
 - A. Yes. And some at other places.
 - Q. Does it list Napa State Hospital only or all hospitals?
 - A. It was -- these were just all packets that I worked on at some point, and then maybe they ended up going somewhere else.
- Q. But you wouldn't be receiving -- you wouldn't be adding things to this list, adding people to this list, that came, say, to L. A. County?
- A. No. Not unless we were taking them as a favor for somebody or -- not normally. It was mostly just our catchment area.

```
Page 80
                                                    Page 78
         Q. And did you share this database with
                                                                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of
                                                             1
     anvone?
                                                                 DVD two of two and concludes today's deposition of
2
3
         A. Not really. People could look at it.
                                                                 Dana White.
     It's on a shared database, but mainly I just used
                                                                         The time is now 12:15 p.m. We are going
 5
                                                             5
                                                                 off the record. Thank you, Counsel.
 6
         Q. And did you use it to track what went on
                                                             6
 7
     at county jails in any way?
                                                             7
                                                                 (At 12:15 p.m. the foregoing deposition concluded.)
 8
         A. Not really, no.
                                                             8
9
             MS. ADDAMS: Could we take a quick break
                                                             9
     before we conclude?
                                                            10
10
             MS. SHERWIN: Sure. And I will have a
11
                                                            11
     couple follow-up questions.
                                                            12
12
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
13
                                                            13
14
     12:09 p.m. We are going off the record.
15
                        (Recess taken)
                                                            15
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going on the
16
                                                            16
17
     record. The time is 12:14 p.m.
                                                            17
18
             MS. ADDAMS: I don't have any more
                                                            18
19
     questions.
                                                            19
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SHERWIN:
20
                                                            20
21
         Q. Okay. I just have a couple of follow-up
                                                            21
22
     questions.
                                                            22
23
             Ms. White, going back to your direct
                                                            23
     admission waiting list that you've created, you
25
     created that list in the course of your job at Napa
                                                    Page 79
                                                                                                                Page 81
                                                                                 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
     State Hospital and the Department of State
     Hospitals, right?
2
                                                                         I, MICHELE J. LUCAS, a Shorthand Reporter,
3
         A. Yes.
                                                                State of California, do hereby certify:
 4
         Q. And that was something that you did
                                                                        That DANA WHITE, in the foregoing deposition
 5
     actually after learning when you first assumed the
                                                                 named, was present and by me sworn as a witness in the
     position that someone else had kept a list like
 6
                                                                 above-entitled action at the time and place therein
 7
     that, and it would be a good idea for you to keep a
                                                                 specified;
     list like that so you can keep everyone in one
                                                                        That said deposition was taken before me at
9
     place, right?
                                                                said time and place, and was taken down in shorthand by
                                                            10
         A. Yes.
10
                                                                me, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of
11
         Q. And you found that list helpful in your
                                                            12
                                                                California, and was thereafter transcribed into
     work, and you've used it in your work with Napa
12
                                                            13
                                                                 typewriting, and that the foregoing transcript
     State Hospital and the Department of State
13
                                                                 constitutes a full, true and correct report of said
     Hospitals since you first started keeping it in
                                                                deposition and of the proceedings that took place;
                                                            15
15
     2004, correct?
                                                                         That the deponent's review and signature was
         A. Yes.
16
                                                            17
                                                                not requested;
         Q. And that document is kept on a shared file
17
                                                                         IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunder
                                                            18
18
     in your work computer system at Napa State
                                                                 subscribed my hand this 5th day of June, 2019
                                                            19
     Hospital, right?
19
                                                            20
20
         A. Yes.
                                                            21
21
         Q. That's not something that you keep on your
                                                                              MICHELE J. LUCAS CSR NO. 4017
     own home computer or anything like that, right?
                                                                              State of California
22
                                                            22
23
         A. Right.
24
             MS. SHERWIN: Okay. I have no further
                                                            24
     questions. Thank you.
```